Q44.

Review to Inform a Better and Fairer Education System

Privacy Statement

Your personal information is protected by law, including under the *Privacy Act 1988* (Privacy Act). Personal information is information or an opinion about an identified individual or a reasonably identifiable individual. Personal information includes an individual's name and contact details and may include opinion-based data.

Your personal information is collected by the Australian Government Department of Education (the department) as part of a consultation process for the Review to Inform a Better and Fairer Education System (the Review).

Your personal information may be used for the purposes of:

- administering the Review and related consultation process, including contacting you in relation to your submission
- informing or contributing to policy development related to the Review
- developing reports
- publishing your submission where you have agreed to that.

If you do not provide your contact information and there is an issue with your submission, your submission may not be published or used for policy development related to the subject of the consultation.

Your personal information may be disclosed to third parties, including but not limited to:


- Qualtrics and the Department of Employment and Workplace Relations for the purpose of IT support, and
- a contracted service provider engaged by the department in connection with the Review.

Your personal information may also be disclosed to other parties where you have agreed or it is otherwise permitted under the Privacy Act.

Where you have agreed, your submission (including any personal information you include in your submission and your name), may be published on the department's website, which may involve disclosure to overseas recipients in any country. As such, by agreeing to the publication, you are consenting to your personal information being accessible overseas and acknowledge that an overseas recipient is not likely to be subject to the Privacy Act and the department will not have an obligation to take reasonable steps to ensure that they do not breach the Australian Privacy Principles in relation to the published information.

The department's <u>Privacy Policy</u>, including information about how to make a complaint and how to access or correct your personal information, can be found on our website. You can also request a copy from the department by emailing <u>privacy@education.gov.au</u>.

For more information on how the department handles your submission, visit the terms and conditions for public submissions page.



Q2. 2. What are the evidence-based practices that teachers, schools, systems and sectors can put in place to improve student outcomes, particularly for those most at risk of falling behind? Are different approaches required for different at-risk cohorts?
The students who are most likely to fall behind are gifted students from marginalized populations. The Education of Gifted and Talented Children Inquiry Commonwealth of Australia 2001 - ISBN 0 642 71156 9 - made clear and comprehensive recommendations that remain unfulfilled and relevant today.
Q3. 3. How can all students at risk of falling behind be identified early on to enable swift learning interventions?
Q4. 4. Should the next NSRA add additional priority equity cohorts? For example, should it add children and young people living in out-of-home care and students who speak English as an additional language or dialect? What are the risks and benefits of identifying additional cohorts?
Q5. 5. What should the specific targets in the next NSRA be? Should the targets be different for primary and secondary schools? If so, how? What changes are required to current measurement frameworks, and what new measures might be required?

nat	5. 6. How can the targets in the next NSRA be structured to ensure evidence-based approaches underpin a tionally coherent reform agenda while allowing jurisdictions and schools the flexibility to respond to lividual student circumstances and needs?
Q7	7. How should progress towards any new targets in the next NSRA be reported on?
Q5 C	hapter 3: Improving student mental health and wellbeing
	2. 8. What does it look like when a school is supporting student mental health and wellbeing effectively? nat is needed from school, systems, government and the community to deliver this?

Q9. 9. What evidence-based wellbeing approaches currently being implemented by schools and communities should be considered as part of a national reform agenda?
Q10. 10. Should a wellbeing target be included in the next NSRA? Could this use existing data collections, or is additional data required?
Q11. 11. Would there be benefit in surveying students to help understand student perceptions of safety and belonging at school, subjective state of wellbeing, school climate and classroom disruption? Would there be value in incorporating this into existing National Assessment Program surveys such as NAPLAN?
Q12. 12. To what extent do school leaders and teachers have the skills and training to support students struggling with mental health?

3. 13. What can be done to establish stronger partnerships between schools, Local Health Networks and rimary Health Networks?
19. 14. What can be done to ensure schools can easily refer students to services outside the school gate at they need to support their wellbeing? How can this be done without adding to teacher and leader orkload?
hapter 4: Our current and future teachers
20. 15. What change(s) would attract more students into the teaching profession?

Q32. 16. What change(s) would support teachers to remain in the profession?

1. 17. What chan	ge(s) would support qualified teachers to return to the profession?
z. zr. wriat orian	yo(o) would support qualified todoriors to rotarif to the profession.
0. 18. What additi	onal reforms are needed to ensure that the schools most in need can support and retain
hly effective teach	ners?
9. 19. What can b	e done to attract a diverse group of people into the teaching profession to ensure it looks
the broader com	munity?

Q28. 20. What can be done to attract more First Nations teachers? What can be done to improve the retention of First Nations teachers?

L	
Q2	6. 21. What reforms could enable the existing teacher workforce to be deployed more effectively?
Q2 imp	5. 22. How can teacher career pathways, such as master teachers and instructional specialists, be proved to attract and retain teachers? How should this interact with the Highly Accomplished or Lead
Tea	acher (HALT) certification and the Australian Professional Standards for Teachers?
Г	
02	4. 23. Are there examples of resources, such as curriculum materials, being used to improve teacher
WO	rkload or streamline their administrative tasks?
Г	

Q23. 24. How should digital technology be used to support education delivery, reduce teacher workload and improve teacher effectiveness? What examples are you aware of?

	22. 25. Are there benefits for the teaching profession in moving to a national registration system? If so, what re they?
S	57. Chapter 5: Collecting data to inform decision-making and boost tudent outcomes
Ų.	27. 26. What data are of most value to you and how accessible are these for you?
Ų.	27. 26. What data are of most value to you and how accessible are these for you?
Q	27. 26. What data are of most value to you and how accessible are these for you? 35. 27. Is there any data that are not currently collected and reported on that is vital to understanding ducation in Australia? Why is this data important?

	lata measurement and f so, how can this data			ents with disability	/ be a priority ur	nder
3. 29. Is there a	a need to establish a report at a jurisdiction	report which track al and a national	ks progress on t level? What sho	he targets and re ould be included	eforms in the ne in the report?	xt
ailable to inform	data collected by schon policy design and imaliable safely and effic	plementation? W				е

Q31. 31. The Productivity Commission and Australian Education Research Organisation (AERO) have identified the need for longitudinal data to identify the actual students at risk of falling behind based on their performance (and not on equity groups alone) and to monitor these students' progress over time. Should this be the key data reform for the next NSRA?

. 32. Should an independent e to govern the sharing of da	body be responsible for coata through this body?	ellecting and holding data? Wh	nat rules should be in
. 33. Is there data being coll	ected that is no longer requ	ired?	
. 34. How could the national	Unique Student Identifier (I	USI) support improved outcor	nes for students?
e	33. Is there data being colle	33. Is there data being collected that is no longer requ	32. Should an independent body be responsible for collecting and holding data? Wit to govern the sharing of data through this body? 33. Is there data being collected that is no longer required?

Q58.

Chapter 6: Funding transparency and accountability

Q36. 35. Are there other objectives for funding accountability and transparency we have missed?
Q39. 36. How can governments make better use of the information already collected and/or published to achieve the objectives?
Q38. 37. What other funding accountability and transparency information regarding schools (both your school and the education system more generally) would be useful?
Q37. 38. What are the priority gaps in the current funding transparency and accountability arrangements from your perspective?

Q52. If you have more information you would like to share with the Expert Panel, please send a written
response as a .docx or RTF format to NSRA.submissions@education.gov.au. An additional PDF version may
also be submitted. Please make sure to note that your email is to be considered alongside your current
submission.

If you have any questions about your submission or the submissions process more broadly, please contact $\underline{\mathsf{NSRA.submissions}} \underline{\mathsf{oeducation.gov.au}}$